

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/033,666 12/27/2001		Lothar J. Zell	LZ-1-gw	7932		
7:	590 07/25/2003					
Michael I. Kroll			EXAM	EXAMINER		
171 Stillwell La Syosset, NY 1			SPISICH	SPISICH, MARK		
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		•	1744	3		
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 4 11 - 41		A	mx-3		
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/033,666	5	ZELL, LOTHAR J.			
	Office Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit			
		Mark Spisi		1744			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on th	cover sheet with the	correspondence address	10		
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period by the Office later than three months after the main displayment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the statut iod will apply and will atute, cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be to ory minimum of thirty (30) da expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cation to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communic. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.		
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is r	non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und on of Claims				ts is		
·	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without		sideration				
l	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
l :	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,					
l	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or alaction ro	auiromont				
	on Papers	u/or election le	quirement.				
	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
· · · · · ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac		objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to						
11)[] 7	The proposed drawing correction filed on		-				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in			•			
12) 🔲 🏻	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			•			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian, priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 1190	a)-(d) or (f).			
/	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	3,					
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been	received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for a l	Bureau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119((e) (to a provisional applic	ation).		
	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome						
Attachment	(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	:		ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>		
J.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 3			

Application/Control Number: 10/033,666 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Notice of Informal Examiner's Amendment

"18" (page 26, line 26 and page 27, lines 17 & 18) has been changed to - 14 --.

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of legal phraseology (eg, "means" in lines 1,5,14 and 15). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The preamble of claim 1 is not consistent with the body thereof. The preamble recites an apparatus for steering an automatic swimming pool cleaner, while the body of the claim further positively recites the pool cleaner. It is suggested that the preamble of claim 1 be changed to something like (1) "A swimming pool cleaning apparatus, comprising:" OR (2) "In combination with an automatic swimming pool cleaner having a housing, said housing having a front, a rear, an upper surface and a lower surface, an apparatus for steering the pool cleaner comprising:" (in this version lines 2-3 of claim 1 would need to be deleted). — In said — should follow "disposed" (claim 6, line 1). Each of claims 9-13 are drawn to different embodiments for connecting the pole to the housing. As such, claims 10-13 should each be amended to depend from claim 8. "Material" (claim 9, line 2) should be — member ---.

Applicant should review the claims for any additional informalities.

Application/Control Number: 10/033,666 Page 3

Art Unit: 1744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rief et al (USP 5,469,596). The patent to Rief discloses an automatic pool cleaner (20) in combination with a pole (27a) which may be removed from the cleaner during automatic pool cleaning operations and secured to an upper surface of the housing of the cleaning by a connecting means (27b). The subtle differences in the claim with regard to the use of the pole for steering fail to structurally define over the prior art. The pole (27a) does have a pair of opposed securing holes (claim 2). The reference to the pair of securing pins in claim 2 is noted; however, these elements are not positively claims until a subsequent claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-14 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patent to Mathews is pertinent to pole for manipulating a

Art Unit: 1744

pool cleaner, the patent to Carbonell to detachable handle for an "automatic" cleaner and the remaining patents to detachable handles/poles for pool cleaning devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Spisich whose telephone number is (703) 308-1271. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6-3:30), Alternate Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J Warden can be reached on (703) 308-2920. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Mark Spisich
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1744

MS July 22, 2003